

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/482,354	01/14/2000	Hidehiro Iizuke	381NP/48511	6862
7590 06/21/2004			EXAMINER	
CROWELL & MORING LLP			LANGEL, WAYNE A	
Intellectual Proj	perty Group			
P.O. Box 14300			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Washington, DC 20044-4300			1754	

DATE MAILED: 06/21/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



## UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

SERIAL NUMBER | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.

10/57		
		EXAMINER
	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	ART ORD	FAFEN NOMBER
	DATE MAILED:	
This is a communication from the examiner in charge of your application.	DATE MAILED.	
COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS	-	
This application has been examined Responsive to communication filed on		•
A shortened statutory period for response to this action is set to expire month( Failure to respond within the period for response will cause the application to become aban	s),days fr doned. 35 U.S.C. 133	rom the date of this letter.
Part I THE FOLLOWING ATTACHMENT(S) ARE PART OF THIS ACTION:		
1. Notice of References Cited by Examiner, PTO-892.	Notice of Draftsman's P	atent Drawing Review, PTO-948
	Notice of Informal Pater	nt Application, PTO-152.
Part II SUMMARY OF ACTION		
1. Aclaims 1, 3-5 and 19-29		are pending in the application
Of the above, claims	ar	e withdrawn from consideration.
2. Claims		have been cancelled.
3. X Claims 24		are allowed.
4. \ Claims 1, 3-5, 19-23 and 29	5-29	are rejected.
5. Claims		are objected to.
6. Claims	_are subject to restrict	ion or election requirement.
7. This application has been filed with informal drawings under 37 C.F.R. 1.85 which	are acceptable for exar	nination purposes.
8. Formal drawings are required in response to this Office action.		
9. ☐ The corrected or substitute drawings have been received on		C.F.R. 1.84 these drawings PTO-948).
10. The proposed additional or substitute sheet(s) of drawings, filed on examiner; disapproved by the examiner (see explanation).	has (have) been	□ approved by the
11. The proposed drawing correction, filed, has been app	proved;  disapprove	d (see explanation).
12. Acknowledgement is made of the claim for priority under 35 U.S.C. 119. The certiful been filed in parent application, serial no; filed on		received not been received
13. Since this application apppears to be in condition for allowance except for formal m accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.	latters, prosecution as t	to the merits is closed in
14. Other		

Serial No. 09/482,354

Art Unit 1754

Claim 27 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. There is no antecedent basis for "said composite oxide".

Claims 1, 3-5, 19-21, 23, 25, 26, 28 and 29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 112, first paragraph, as containing subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. There is no "description support" for employing the at least one element selected from the group consisting of Pd, Ir, and Ru "in an amount of from 0.20 to 3.5 grams per 100 grams of a carrier for said catalyst" (as opposed to an amount of from 0.25 to 3.5 grams per 100 grams). (See page 8, lines 20 and 21 of the original specification.)

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which

Serial No. 09/482,354
Art Unit 1754

the invention was made.

Claims 1, 3-5, 19-23, 25, 26, 28 and 29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over WO 97/47864. would be prima facie obvious to employ the palladium in the catalyst of WO 97/47864 in an amount of from 0.20 to 3.5 grams per 100 grams of a carrier for the catalyst. Applicant's argument, that the carrying amount (the burdening amount) of palladium is not discussed in WO 97/47864, is not convincing. Figure 25 of WO 97/47864 discloses a palladium supported amount varying from about 0.5 to 15 weight percent, which overlaps the range of palladium recited in applicant's claims. Accordingly the catalyst of WO 97/47864 would inherently have a CO desorption capacity that reaches a maximum level at a temperature within the range from 200 to 220°C, when employing a palladium content of 0.25 to 3.0%, as suggested in Figure 25 of WO 97/47864. Applicant has not explained why the catalyst of WO 97/47864 would not have the CO desorption capacity as recited in applicant's claims, when employing the palladium content of 0.25 to 3.0%. Moreover, claims 9 and 10 of WO 97/47864 suggest that the catalyst may contain platinum, palladium and rhodium, and further discloses on page 56, line 9 that the supported amount of palladium is 0.5 to 15 weight percent. Accordingly one of ordinary skill in the art would be motivated to employ the

Serial No. 09/482,354

Art Unit 1754

palladium in an amount of 0.5 weight percent in the catalyst of WO 97/47864.

Claim 24 is allowed.

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new grounds of rejection. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See M.P.E.P. § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 C.F.R. § 1.136(a).

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RESPONSE TO THIS FINAL ACTION IS SET TO EXPIRE THREE MONTHS FROM THE DATE OF THIS ACTION. IN THE EVENT A FIRST RESPONSE IS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE MAILING DATE OF THIS FINAL ACTION AND THE ADVISORY ACTION IS NOT MAILED UNTIL AFTER THE END OF THE THREE-MONTH SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD, THEN THE SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD WILL EXPIRE ON THE DATE THE ADVISORY ACTION IS MAILED, AND ANY EXTENSION FEE PURSUANT TO 37 C.F.R. § 1.136(a) WILL BE CALCULATED FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THE ADVISORY ACTION. IN NO EVENT WILL THE STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RESPONSE EXPIRE LATER THAN SIX MONTHS FROM THE DATE OF THIS FINAL ACTION.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Wayne A. Langel whose telephone number is (571) 272-1353. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 8 A.M. to

Art Unit 1754

3:30 P.M.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Stanley Silverman, can be reached on (571) 272-1358. The fax phone number for this Group is (703) 872-9306.

-5-

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either private PAIR or public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

WAL:cdc

June 17, 2004

MAYNE A. LANGEL
WAYNE A. LANGEL
PRIMARY EXAMINER